

Nottinghamshire Pension Fund Climate-related Disclosures

Report prepared in alignment with the recommendations of the TCFD



December 2024


Introduction to the TCFD

The Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) was commissioned in 2015 by Mark Carney in his remit as Chair of the Financial Stability Board. In 2017 the TCFD released its recommendations for improved transparency by companies, asset managers, asset owners, banks, and insurance companies with respect to how climate-related risks and opportunities are being managed. Official supporters of the TCFD exceed 4,000 organisations representing a market capitalisation of over \$27 trillion. Disclosure that aligns with the TCFD recommendations currently represents best practice.

The recommendations are based on the financial materiality of climate change. The four elements of recommended disclosures (see Figure 1 below) are designed so as to make TCFD-aligned disclosures comparable, but with sufficient flexibility to account for local circumstances. Examples of pension funds that were early adopters of the TCFD recommendations include AP2, NEST, PGGM, RPMI Railpen, The Pensions Trust, and Environment Agency Pension Fund.

In September 2022, the Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) released a consultation document, seeking views on proposals for LGPS Administering Authorities (AAs) to manage and report on climate risks in line with TCFD. While this policy is still in the consultation stage, we expect these requirements to be formally adopted by DLHUC in 2024.

Figure 1: TCFD Disclosure Pillars



The Fund supports the TCFD recommendations as the optimal framework to describe and communicate the steps the Fund is taking to manage climate-related risks and incorporate climate risk management into investment processes. As a pension fund, we are long-term investors and are diversified across asset classes, regions and sectors, making us “universal owners”. It is in our interest that the market is able to effectively price climate-related risks and that policymakers are able to address market failure. We believe TCFD-aligned disclosure from asset owners, asset managers, and corporates, is in the best interest of our beneficiaries.

About this report

This report is Nottinghamshire Pension Fund's (NPF or 'the Fund') fourth climate-related disclosure report. It describes the way in which climate-related risks are currently managed within the Fund.


Since October 2020, NPF has received five Climate Risk Reports from the Fund's pooling company, LGPS Central Ltd. These reports provide an in-depth review of the Fund's climate risks under different climate change scenarios across all asset classes. The Fund uses the findings of these reports to inform the Climate Strategy.

In the interests of being transparent with the Fund's beneficiaries and broader stakeholder base, the most recent report discloses the most recent Carbon Risk Metrics Analysis and includes a gap analysis of the Fund's policies and disclosures relating to the four pillars of the TCFD. We expect to update our Carbon Risk Metrics on an annual basis.

Climate-related risks

Human activities are estimated to have caused approximately 1.1°C of global warming above pre-industrial levels.¹ Most of this warming has occurred in the past 35 years, with each of the ten warmest years between 1880 and 2022 taking place over the last 12 years.² The overwhelming scientific consensus is that the observed climatic changes are the result primarily of human activities including electricity and heat production, agriculture and land-use change, industry, and transport.

Figure 2: Global Land and Ocean Annual Temperature Anomalies (1880-2022) ³



In order to mitigate the worst economic impacts of climate change, there must be a large, swift, and globally co-ordinated policy response. Despite this, the majority of climate scientists anticipate that given the current level of climate action, by 2100 the world will be between 2°C and 4°C warmer, with significant regional variations. This is substantially higher than the Paris Climate Change Agreement, which reflects a collective goal to hold the increase in the climate's mean global surface temperature to well below 2°C above preindustrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C.

¹ [IPCC_AR6_SYR_SPM.pdf](#)

² [Annual 2022 Global Climate Report | National Centers for Environmental Information \(NCEI\) \(noaa.gov\)](#)

³ [Annual 2022 Global Climate Report | National Centers for Environmental Information \(NCEI\) \(noaa.gov\)](#)

Governance

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

a) Describe the board's oversight of climate-related risks and opportunities

Roles and responsibilities at the Fund are set out clearly in the Fund's Governance Compliance Statement. Overall responsibility for managing the Fund lies with Nottinghamshire County Council (the Council) which has delegated the management and administration of the Fund to the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund Committee ("the Committee").

The Committee is responsible for preparing the Investment Strategy Statement (ISS) and Climate Strategy. The ISS includes the Fund's approach to Responsible Investment (RI) and recognises climate change as a factor that could have a serious impact on financial markets. The Climate Strategy is premised on 10 foundational evidence-based beliefs about climate risk, considering climate science, the energy transition, and climate stewardship. The Climate Strategy is reviewed on an annual basis. The Committee meets eight times a year, and reports from an Independent Adviser (which include advice on the Fund's approach to Responsible Investment) are received regularly.

As per the Climate Strategy, the Fund is committed to providing decision-makers with appropriate training, including specialised training on climate change.

The Local Pensions Board has an oversight role in ensuring the effective and efficient governance and administration of the Fund, including securing compliance with Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) Regulations and any other legislation relating to the governance and administration of the Scheme.

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

b) Describe management's role in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities.

The Service Director for Finance, Infrastructure and Improvement, Group Manager Financial Services and Head of Pension Fund Investments have primary day-to-day responsibility for the way in which climate-related investment risks are currently managed. Where appropriate, the Fund's pooling company, LGPS Central, assists in assessing and managing climate-related risks.

As detailed in the Climate Strategy, the Fund leverages partnerships and initiatives – including the Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC) – to identify and manage climate risk. The Service Director for Finance, Infrastructure and Improvement, the Group Manager Financial Services, and the Head of Pension Fund Investments are accountable to the Committee for delivery of the Climate Strategy.

As a primarily externally managed fund, the implementation of much of the management of climate-related risk is delegated onwards to portfolio managers. External portfolio managers are monitored on a regular basis by the Committee.

Since 2020 the Fund Officers have received an annual Climate Risk Report, which provides a view of climate risk throughout the Fund's listed equity and fixed income portfolios, and identifies further means for the Fund to manage its material climate risks.

The Pensions Committee receives regular training on matters related to climate change. Over the year preceding 31 March 2024, this included a session titled "Investing during a time of climate change", which was presented by LGPS Central. Committee members are also provided with the opportunity to attend conferences and training events which can include climate related training.

The Fund's Committee members are also invited to LGPS Central's annual Responsible Investment Summit, in which industry leaders are invited to speak on a range of RI-related topics in an all-day online event. Attendees are given the opportunity to ask questions at each session, providing a valuable opportunity for the Fund's management to enhance their technical understanding of emerging risks and opportunities within RI.

The Fund's Pension Officers also attend quarterly Responsible Investment Working Group meetings. These meetings are organised by LGPS Central and provide updates and training on a variety of ESG topics, including climate change, to the attendees. These meetings are attended by representatives from all eight of LGPS Central's Partner Funds, facilitating discussions and providing opportunities for attendees to deepen their knowledge of climate issues.

In addition to the internal management, the Fund has an Independent Adviser who attends meetings of the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund Committee and Pensions Working Party as required. This is considered best practice in accordance with the requirements for "proper advice" in the governing regulations. The Independent Adviser is appointed by the Administering Authority following appropriate consultation with the Committee. The Independent Adviser is engaged to advise on a wide range of topics, including investment strategy, asset allocation, and the Fund's approach to responsible investment.

Strategy

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

a) Describe the climate-related risks and opportunities the organisation has identified over the short, medium and long term.

As a diversified asset owner, the range of climate-related risks and opportunities are multifarious and constantly evolving. A subset of risk factors is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Example Short, Medium & Long-Term Risks

Source of Risk and Opportunities	Category	Risk or Opportunity	Time Horizon	Impact Area	Mitigation / Management Strategy
Policy Changes (Including Carbon Pricing)	Transition	Risk and Opportunity	Short	Across investments and funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor potential regulatory changes (domestic and international) and consider the impact of these changes on the Fund's approach to investments and its internal operations. The management of the Fund's climate risks will mitigate the impact of increasing carbon prices. Monitor manager preparedness and awareness of changing carbon prices across relevant markets, alongside their awareness of low-carbon alternatives which may benefit from rising carbon prices. Consider the impact of likely policy changes in strategic decisions.
			Medium	Investments in carbon-intensive and low-carbon industries	
			Long	Operational	
Technological Change	Transition	Risk and Opportunity	Short Medium Long	Across Asset Classes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor manager awareness of emerging and disruptive technologies. Consider the impact of these changes in strategic decisions.
Changing Weather Systems and Climate Adaptation	Physical	Risk and Opportunity	Short	Physical Assets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Carry out scenario analyses on various climate scenarios to assess impact. Ensure external managers maintain adequate consideration of both acute risks (floods, storms, etc) and chronic risks (damages associated with rising sea levels, global temperature increases, etc). Ensure managers monitor the market for investment opportunities in climate adaptation projects. These could include
			Medium	Corporate Holdings	
			Long		

					<p>large-scale infrastructure projects such as floodwalls, alongside technological products such as AC units and other cooling systems.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure managers monitor portfolio company’s assessments of extreme weather impacts on their operations.
Resource Scarcity	Physical	Risk	Medium Long	Physical Assets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitor manager awareness of resource scarcity. • Consider managers’ awareness of agricultural holdings.

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

b) Describe the impact of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organisation’s business, strategy and financial planning.

Although the Fund is diversified across asset classes, regions, and sectors, it is recognised that climate risk is systemic and is unlikely to be eliminated through diversification alone.

The Fund’s Climate Change Strategy sets out the Fund’s approach to managing the impact of climate-related risks. The main management techniques within investment strategy are measurement and observation; policy review; asset allocation; selection and due diligence; purposeful stewardship; and transparency and disclosure.

The Fund is exploring options to further embed climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, including reviewing potential investments in sustainable asset classes where this supports the Fund’s investment and funding objectives.

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

c) Describe the resilience of the organisation’s strategy, taking into consideration different climate-related scenarios, including a 2°C or lower scenario.

To consider the resilience of the Fund’s strategy, the Fund’s actuary, Barnett Waddingham conducted climate scenario stress testing in the contribution modelling exercise for the local authority employers as part of the 2022 valuation. This was conducted to better understand how the Fund’s funding strategy performs under different climate scenarios.

The results of this analysis can be found in the Fund’s 2022 Actuarial Valuation Report.⁴

⁴ [Actuarial valuation as at 31 March 2022 and Rates and Adjustment Certificate](#)

Additionally, in 2020 and 2022, the Fund engaged the expertise of an external contractor, Mercer LLC, to understand the extent to which the Fund's risk and return characteristics could come to be affected by a set of plausible climate scenarios.

In the 2022 iteration, this included an estimation of the annual climate-related impact on returns (at the Fund and asset-class level). All asset classes are included in this analysis. The climate scenarios considered were Rapid Transition, Orderly Transition and Failed Transition. In the analysis, Mercer focused on short-, medium- and long-term time frames of 5, 15 and 40 years.

It should be noted here that translating Climate Scenario Analysis into an investment strategy is a challenge for several reasons. Firstly, there is a wide range of plausible climate scenarios with significantly different and far-reaching consequences. Secondly, the probability of any given scenario is hard to determine, and especially so when considering longer time horizons. Finally, the best performing sectors and asset classes in an orderly scenario tend to be the worst performers in a failed scenario and vice versa. This makes categoric strategic recommendations particularly challenging. Despite the challenges, the Fund believes in seeking out the best available climate-related research in order to make its portfolio as robust as possible.

Risk Management

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

a) Describe the organisation's process for identifying and assessing climate-related risks.

The Fund identifies climate risks by incorporating both top-down and bottom-up analyses. It recognises that the tools and techniques for assessing climate-related risks in investment portfolios are imperfect but continuously evolving. The Fund strives to utilise the best available information to evaluate climate-related threats to investment performance.

As far as possible climate risks are assessed in units of investment return, in order to compare with other investment risk factors. This is exemplified by the inclusion of "Financial risks of climate change" in the Fund's risk register, where it is categorised as "High" inherent risk and "Medium" current risk.⁵

As a primarily externally managed pension fund, the identification and assessment of climate-related risks is also the responsibility of individual fund managers appointed by the Fund. Existing fund managers are monitored on a regular basis.

Engagement activity is conducted with investee companies through selected stewardship partners including LGPS Central, EOS at Federated Hermes (EOS), and LAPFF (see below). Based on the findings of its Climate Risk Report, the Fund has devised a Climate Stewardship Plan in order to focus engagement resources on the investments most relevant to the Fund's climate risk.

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

b) Describe the organisation's process for managing climate-related risks.

The Fund manages climate risk in different ways according to the nature, duration, magnitude and time horizon of the risk itself. As set out in the Fund's Climate Strategy, the main management techniques are: measurement and observation; policy review; asset allocation; selection and due diligence; purposeful stewardship; and transparency and disclosure. As an appendix to the Fund's Climate Strategy, the Fund also has a Climate Stewardship Plan. This will be discussed in more detail at the end of this section.

Engagement and shareholder voting are an important aspect of the Fund's approach to managing climate risk. The Fund expects all investee companies to manage material risks, including climate change, and the Fund believes that climate risk management can be meaningfully improved through focussed stewardship activities by investors.




The Fund supports the engagement objectives of the Climate Action 100+ (CA100+) initiative, whereby companies adopt the appropriate governance structures to effectively manage climate risk, decarbonise in line with the Paris Agreement, and disclose effectively using the TCFD recommendations. In September 2020, CA100+ introduced a Benchmark Framework which identifies ten key indicators of success for business alignment with a net zero emissions future and goals of the

⁵ [NPF Risk Register 2023](#)

Paris Agreement. In 2022 the organisation announced the launch of its Phase 2 strategy, and in 2023 it announced the launch of its new Net Zero Benchmark. The organisation’s focus has now shifted from analysis of company targets and commitments to analysis of company progress towards decarbonisation.

Either through its own membership or through LGPS Central’s membership, the Fund has several engagement partners that engage investee companies on climate risk which are described in the following table.

Table 2: The Fund’s Stewardship Partners

Organisation	Remit
	<p>The Fund is a 1/8th owner of LGPS Central. Climate change is one of LGPS Central’s stewardship themes, with quarterly progress reporting available on the website. The Responsible Investment and Engagement Team at LGPS Central engages companies on the NPF’s behalf, including via the CA100+ initiative.</p>
	<p>EOS at Federated Hermes is engaged by LGPS Central to expand the scope of the engagement programme, especially to reach non-UK companies.</p>
	<p>NPF is a long-standing member of the Local Authority Pension Fund Forum (LAPFF). LAPFF conducts engagements with companies on behalf of local authority pension funds.</p>

The instruction of shareholder voting opportunities is an important part of climate stewardship. The Fund delegates responsibility for voting to LGPS Central for all directly held securities, or the Fund’s directly appointed investment managers for investments held in external funds. For directly held securities, votes are cast in accordance with LGPS Central’s Voting Principles, to which the Fund contributes during the annual review process. LGPS Central’s Voting Principles incorporate climate change, for example by voting against companies that do not meet certain thresholds in the Transition Pathway Initiative (TPI) scoring system.

The Fund reports quarterly on its voting activities. These reports are publicly available on the Pension Fund website. In addition, LGPS Central reports quarterly on its voting and engagement activities. These reports are publicly available via the LGPS Central website.

Based on the findings of the Fund’s Climate Metric Analyses, the Fund is able to identify the largest contributors to its overall carbon footprint. The Fund can use this information to inform investment and engagement decisions, although it is important to recognise that carbon figures alone should be accompanied by a contextual awareness of the company’s approach to decarbonisation and net zero.

Table 3: Top 10 largest emitters from NPF's Total Equity portfolio

Company Name	Weight in Total Portfolio	Financed Emissions	Contribution to Total Financed Emissions
Shell plc	1.86%	34,457.53	12.52%
bp plc	0.81%	9,248.64	3.36%
Glencore plc	0.52%	8,661.56	3.15%
Anglo American plc	0.43%	5,781.20	2.10%
Rio Tinto plc	0.34%	4,604.28	1.67%
Cemex, S.A.B. de C.V.	0.02%	2,549.81	0.93%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	0.20%	2,461.52	0.89%
Holcim AG	0.03%	2,405.31	0.87%
The Southern Company	0.06%	2,320.57	0.84%
Exxon Mobil Corporation	0.07%	1,043.82	0.38%
TotalEnergies SE	0.09%	993.17	0.36%
BHP Group Limited	0.14%	489.00	0.18%
CRH Public Limited Company	0.01%	179.28	0.07%
Reliance, Inc.	0.01%	24.18	0.01%

Recommendations for changes to the Climate Stewardship Plan are provided in the Fund's Climate Risk Report and are based on a combination of economic relevance, including exposure and significance of risk, contribution to portfolio financed emissions, and LGPS Central's ability to engage with the company on the issue. In line with this approach, this year we have excluded The Southern Company, TotalEnergies, and Reliance, Inc (listed in bold in the table above) from our analysis and we recommended the removal of these companies from NPF's Climate Stewardship Plan.

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

c) Describe how processes for identifying, assessing and managing climate-related risks are integrated into the organisation's overall risk management.

Both 'mainstream' risks and climate-related risks are discussed by the Committee. While specific macro-economic risks are not usually included in isolation, the Fund has deemed climate risk to be sufficiently significant and therefore included it on the Fund's Risk Register. Climate risk is further managed through the Fund's Climate Stewardship Plan.

Metrics and Targets

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

a) Disclose the metrics used by the organisation to assess climate-related risks and opportunities in line with its strategy and risk management process.

The Fund receives annual reports from LGPS Central Ltd which set out the carbon risk metrics for its listed equities and fixed income portfolios. The poor availability of data in unlisted asset classes prevents a more complete analysis at this time.

The carbon risk metrics analysis includes:⁶

- Absolute Emissions (measured by 'Financed Emissions')
- Emissions Intensity (measured by 'Normalised Financed Emissions' and 'Weighted Average Carbon Intensity', or WACI)
- Data Quality
- Paris Alignment

The full results of these analyses fall beyond the scope of this TCFD report, but will be detailed in the 2024 Climate Risk Report. These carbon risk metrics aid the Fund in assessing the potential climate-related risks to which the Fund is exposed, and identifying areas for further risk management, including company engagement and fund manager monitoring. The Fund additionally monitors stewardship data (see above).

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

b) Disclose Scope 1, Scope 2, and if appropriate, Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and the related risks. TCFD Guidance: *Asset owners should provide the weighted average carbon intensity, where data are available or can be reasonably estimated, for each fund or investment strategy.*

In line with the TCFD guidance and following receipt of a report from LGPS Central Limited we provide below the carbon metrics relating to the Total Equity portfolios.⁷ Further information is available in the full dashboard at the end of this report.

⁶ Definitions of these metrics can be found in the Glossary at the end of this report.

⁷ Analysis undertaken on the listed equities portfolios with holdings data as of 30th July 2024. The information in Table 4 was provided to the Fund in a report authored by LGPS Central Limited. In LGPS Central Limited's report, the Total Equities portfolio comprises the Total Active Equities and the Total Passive Equities portfolios weighted according to their size in GBP.

Table 4: Carbon risk metrics for total equities as of 31st March 2024⁸

Portfolio	Financed Emissions		Normalised Financed Emissions		Weighted Average Carbon Intensity		Data Quality	Alignment
	PF	BM	PF	BM	PF	BM		
Total Equities	253,903	322,301	61.8	87.0	88.4	130.8	2.1	21.9%

The financed emissions associated with the Fund’s total equities are approximately 21.2% lower than the financed emissions of the blended benchmark. The Fund’s financed emissions increased by 13.9% year-on-year, primarily driven by a 11.6% increase in NAV. Accounting for these fluctuations in NAV, the Fund’s normalised financed emissions remained stable at year-on-year, 29.0% lower than the blended benchmark. The Fund’s equities experienced a 1% increase in WACI from the previous year, remaining 32.4% lower than the blended benchmark.

The Fund’s equity investment has a lower exposure to fossil fuels relative to the benchmark, as measured by both absolute exposure and when apportioned by revenue. While the benchmark has a greater absolute exposure to companies involved in clean tech, when exposure to clean tech is apportioned by revenue the exposure exceeds that of the benchmark.

50.6% of the Fund’s equity financed emissions are associated with companies which are currently being engaged by the Fund. Further to this, 21.9% of the financed emissions are associated with companies which are considered to be aligned or aligning to the Paris agreement, as determined by LGPS Central’s alignment metric.

Whilst the Fund’s carbon risk metrics results show the Fund generally ‘outperforms’ its benchmarks, the Fund is proactively exploring ways to further embed climate risk management in its investment decision making. The Fund expects to update its carbon risk metrics data on an annual basis.

TCFD Recommended Disclosure

c) Describe the targets used by the organisation to manage climate-related risks and opportunities and performance against targets.

The ability for diversified investors (such as pension funds) to set meaningful climate targets is inhibited by the paucity of credible methodologies and data currently available. Like most investors, the Fund is supportive of the development of target-setting methodologies, and the increasing completeness of carbon datasets. The Fund wishes to set meaningful and challenging climate targets for its investment portfolio and work is underway to assess options within the limitations of currently available data.

⁸ Certain information ©2021 MSCI ESG Research LLC. Reproduced by permission.

Appendix 1

TCFD Recommendations for Asset Owners (source: TCFD)

Governance

Recommended Disclosure (a) Describe the board's oversight of climate-related risks and opportunities.

Recommended Disclosure (b) Describe management's role in assessing and managing climate-related risks and opportunities.

Strategy

Recommended Disclosure (a) Describe the climate-related risks and opportunities the organisation has identified over the short, medium, and long term.

Recommended Disclosure (b) Describe the impact of climate-related risks and opportunities on the organisation's businesses, strategy, and financial planning.

Recommended Disclosure (c) Describe the resilience of the organisation's strategy, taking into consideration different climate-related scenarios, including a 2°C or lower scenario.

Risk Management

Recommended Disclosure (a) Describe the organisation's processes for identifying and assessing climate-related risks.

Recommended Disclosure (b) Describe the organisation's processes for managing climate-related risks.

Recommended Disclosure (c) Describe how processes for identifying, assessing, and managing climate-related risks are integrated into the organisation's overall risk management.

Metrics and Targets

Recommended Disclosure (a) Disclose the metrics used by the organisation to assess climate-related risks and opportunities in line with its strategy and risk management process.

Recommended Disclosure (b) Disclose Scope 1, Scope 2, and, if appropriate, Scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and the related risks.

Recommended Disclosure (c) Describe the targets used by the organisation to manage climate-related risks and opportunities and performance against targets.

Appendix 2: Glossary

Clean Technology/ Weight in Clean Technology: the weight of a portfolio invested in companies whose products and services include clean technology. Products and services eligible for inclusion include Alternative Energy, Energy Efficiency, Green Building, Pollution Prevention, Sustainable Water.

Coal Reserves/ Portfolio exposure to thermal coal reserves: the weight of a portfolio invested in companies that own thermal coal reserves.

Data Quality: this metric assesses the quality of a company's carbon reporting. It is represented on a scale of 1-4, where 1 (the highest score) suggests that emissions data has been independently verified. A score of 4 (the lowest score) suggests that data may be based on sectoral estimates.

Engagement: dialogue with a company concerning particular aspects of its strategy, governance, policies, practices, and so on. Engagement includes escalation activity where concerns are not addressed within a reasonable time frame.

Financed Emissions: the absolute amount of greenhouse gas emissions associated with a particular holding or portfolio. This is calculated by assuming the investor is responsible for their share of the company's total emissions. For example, if an investor owns 10% of a company which emits 1000 tonnes of CO₂, the investor's financed emissions will be 100 tonnes.

(Normalised) Financed Emissions: the portfolio's financed emissions divided by £1m invested. This intensity figure allows investors to track changes in financed emissions over time, irrespective of changes in AUM.

Fossil Fuel Reserves/ Portfolio exposure to fossil fuel reserves: the weight of a portfolio invested in companies that own fossil fuel reserves.

Paris Alignment: This score, expressed as a percentage, shows the proportion of financed emissions within the portfolio that are aligned to LGPSC's 'alignment' metric. In order to classify as aligned, the company must meet several threshold criteria across a variety of climate metrics.

Physical risk/ climate physical risk: the financial risks and opportunities associated with the anticipated increase in frequency and severity of extreme weather events and other phenomena, including storms, flooding, sea level rise and changing seasonal extremities.

Scope 1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Direct emissions from owner or sources controlled by the owner, including: on-campus combustion of fossil fuels; and mobile combustion of fossil fuels by institution-controlled vehicles.

Scope 2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Indirect emissions from the generation of purchased energy

Scope 3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Indirect emissions that are not controlled by the institution but occur as a result of that institutions activities. Examples include commuting, waste disposal and embodied emissions from extraction.

Stewardship: the promotion of the long-term success of companies in such a way that the ultimate providers of capital also prosper, using techniques including engagement and voting.

Transition risk/ climate transition risk: the financial risks and opportunities associated with the anticipated transition to a lower carbon economy. This can include technological progress, shifts in subsidies and taxes, and changes to consumer preferences or market sentiment.

Voting: the act of casting the votes bestowed upon an investor, usually in virtue of the investor's ownership of ordinary shares in publicly listed companies.

Weighted Average Carbon Intensity (WACI): A proxy for a portfolio's exposure to potential climate-related risks (especially the cost of carbon), often compared to a performance benchmark. It is calculated by working out the carbon intensity (Scope 1+2 Emissions / \$M sales) for each portfolio company and calculating the weighted average by portfolio weight.

Appendix 3: Important Information

The following notices relates to Table 4 (above), which is produced for the Fund by LGPS Central Limited based on a product licensed by MSCI ESG Research LLC. This report confers no suggestion or representation of any affiliation, endorsement or sponsorship between LGPS Central and MSCI ESG Research LLC. Additionally:

Although LGPS Central's information providers, including without limitation, MSCI ESG Research LLC and its affiliates (the "ESG Parties"), obtain information (the "Information") from sources they consider reliable, none of the ESG Parties warrants or guarantees the originality, accuracy and/or completeness, of any data herein and expressly disclaim all express or implied warranties, including those of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The Information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or disseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for, or a component of, any financial instruments or products or indices. Further, none of the Information can in and of itself be used to determine which securities to buy or sell or when to buy or sell them. None of the ESG Parties shall have any liability for any errors or omissions in connection with any data herein, or any liability for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages.